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#### Abstract

The author discusses 2-adjacency of two-component links and study the relations between the signs of the crossings to realize 2 -adjacency and the coefficients of the Conway polynomial of two related links. By discussing the coefficient of the lowest $m$ power in the Homfly polynomial, the author obtains some results and conditions on whether the trivial link is 2-adjacent to a nontrivial link, whether there are two links 2-adjacent to each other, etc. Finally, this paper shows that the Whitehead link is not 2-adjacent to the trivial link, and gives some examples to explain that for any given two-component link, there are infinitely many links 2 -adjacent to it. In particular, there are infinitely many links 2-adjacent to it with the same Conway polynomial.
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## 1 Introduction

Since the concept of $n$-adjacency (see $[1,7]$ ) was introduced as a specialization of Gusarov's notion of $n$-triviality (see [4]) and a generalization of being unknotting number one (see [12, 17], they coincide for $n=1$ ), a lot of research about $n$-adjacency of knots (in particular for $n=2$ ) has been done (see [1, 8, 18-21, 23-24]). Furthermore, this concept can be naturally extended to 2 -adjacency between two links (see [23-25]). That is, a link $L$ is called 2 -adjacent to a link $W$, if $L$ admits a projection $D$ containing two crossings $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that switching any $0<s \leq 2$ of them yields a projection of $W$ (see [1, 18, 23-24]).

In this paper, we are only concerned with 2-adjacency of two-component links. We study the relations between the signs of the crossings to realize 2-adjacency and the coefficients of the Conway polynomial of two related links. We give an expression of the Jones polynomial of the link obtained by opening two related crossings. We also study their Homfly polynomials and obtain some results and conditions on whether the trivial link is 2-adjacent to a nontrivial link, whether there are two links 2-adjacent to each other, etc. (see Sections 4-5). Finally, we show the Whitehead link not 2 -adjacent to the trivial link, etc., and give some examples to explain that for any given link, there are infinitely many links 2 -adjacent to it. In particular, there are infinitely many links 2 -adjacent to it, which have the same Conway polynomial.

Unless otherwise stated, throughout this paper, our convention will be the following:

[^0]Let a two-component link $L=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ be 2-adjacent to $W=W_{1} \cup W_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ the crossings to realize 2-adjacency, $c_{1}$ the crossing of $L_{1}$, and $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) the sign of $c_{1}$ (resp. $c_{2}$ ). Switching $c_{1}$ changes $L_{1}$ to $W_{1}$, so $L_{2}=W_{2}$.

In this paper, we always assume a basic familiarity with Conway polynomial (defined by a skein relation, see [9, p. 19]), the Jones polynomial (see [11, p. 103]), Homfly polynomial (see [14]) and their properties. The reader may refer to $[3,9,11,13-14]$ for a more detailed exposition.

## 2 Conway Polynomial and 2-Adjacency of a Link

Proposition 2.1 (see [5-6, 9, 15]) Let $L=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup \cdots \cup L_{n}$ be an oriented link with $n$ components, and $l_{j k}=l k\left(L_{j}, L_{k}\right)$ for $j \neq k$.
(1) $\nabla(L)=z^{n-1}\left(a_{n-1}+a_{n+1} z^{2}+\cdots+a_{n-1+2 m} z^{2 m}\right)$;
(2) if $n=1$, then $a_{0}=1$; if $n=2$, then $a_{1}=l k\left(L_{1}, L_{2}\right)$; if $n=3$, then

$$
a_{2}=l_{12} l_{13}+l_{12} l_{23}+l_{13} l_{23}
$$

if $n=4$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{3}(L)= & -l_{12} l_{13} l_{14}-l_{12} l_{23} l_{14}-l_{13} l_{23} l_{14}-l_{12} l_{13} l_{24}-l_{13} l_{14} l_{24}-l_{12} l_{23} l_{24} \\
& -l_{13} l_{23} l_{24}-l_{14} l_{23} l_{24}-l_{12} l_{13} l_{34}-l_{12} l_{14} l_{34}-l_{12} l_{23} l_{34}-l_{13} l_{23} l_{34} \\
& -l_{14} l_{23} l_{34}-l_{12} l_{24} l_{34}-l_{13} l_{24} l_{34}-l_{14} l_{24} l_{34}
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) if $L_{+}$is an oriented knot and $c$ is a positive crossing of $L$, then

$$
a_{2}\left(L_{+}\right)-a_{2}\left(L_{-}\right)=l k\left(L_{0}\right)
$$

Here $L_{-}$and $L_{0}$ are obtained by switching and opening c respectively, and $a_{j}(X)$ indicates the coefficient of $z^{j}$ in the Conway polynomial of a link $X$.

Moreover, $l k(L)$ denotes the total linking number of $L$, i.e., $l k(L)=\sum_{1 \leq j<k \leq n} l_{j k}$ (see p. 133 in [14]).

For the sake of convenience, let $s x$ indicate switching crossing $x$, and $o x$ opening $x$. According to the convention, $L$ admits a diagram $D\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$, such that switching the non-empty subset of $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ yields a diagram of $W$ respectively. By the definition (see [3, 9, 11]) of Conway polynomial, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla\left(D\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right)-\nabla\left(D\left(s c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right)=\alpha z \nabla\left(D\left(o c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \nabla\left(D\left(o c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right)-\nabla\left(D\left(o c_{1}, s c_{2}\right)\right)=\beta z \nabla\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \nabla\left(D\left(c_{1}, s c_{2}\right)\right)-\nabla\left(D\left(s c_{1}, s c_{2}\right)\right)=\alpha z \nabla\left(D\left(o c_{1}, s c_{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $D(u, v)$ has the same diagram as $D\left(c_{1}, c_{2}\right)$ except that $u, v$ replace $c_{1}, c_{2}$ respectively and $\alpha$ (resp. $\beta$ ) is the sign of $c_{1}$ (resp. $c_{2}$ ) (see the above convention). Since $D\left(s c_{1}, c_{2}\right), D\left(c_{1}, s c_{2}\right)$, $D\left(s c_{1}, s c_{2}\right)$ are $W$, from the above equalities, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla(L)=\alpha \beta z^{2} \nabla\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)+\nabla(W) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above argument and Proposition 2.1, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 If the notations and the conditions are as the convention, then $l k(L)=l k(W)$ and
(1) if $a_{3}(L) \neq a_{3}(W)$, then $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a two-component link;
(2) if $a_{3}(L)=a_{3}(W)$, then either $l k(L)=0$ and $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a link with two components or $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a link with four components.

From Theorem 2.1, it is easy to see that $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are not the crossings between two components, since $l k(L)=l k(W)$.

In general, $c_{1}, c_{2}$ have the following two cases.
Case 1 If $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are the crossings of $L_{1}$, then $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a link with two or four components.
(a) If $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has two components, then $l k(L)=l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)$, and $\alpha \beta$ can be got from $a_{3}(L)=\alpha \beta l k(L)+a_{3}(W)$.

However, if $l k(L)=0$, the identity does not determine $\alpha \beta$. In this case, we need to consider the following: (i) Switching $c_{2}$ changes $L_{1}$ to $W_{2}$; (ii) switching $c_{2}$ (resp. $c_{1}$ ) changes $L_{1}$ to $W_{1}$ and switching $c_{1}$ (resp. $c_{2}$ ) changes $W_{1}$ to $W_{2}$. For these two cases, we obtain $W_{2}=L_{2}=W_{1}$. Hence, $L_{1}$ is 2-adjacent to $W_{1}$. It is similar to (2.1)-(2.4) that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla\left(L_{1}\right)=\alpha \beta z^{2} \nabla\left(\widehat{D}\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)+\nabla\left(W_{1}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{D}\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is obtained from $L_{1}$ by opening $c_{1}, c_{2}$ and using Proposition 2.1. Thus, in both cases, $\alpha \beta$ can be got from the identity $a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)=\alpha \beta+a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)$.
(b) If $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has four components, then $a_{3}(L)=a_{3}(W)$.

The discussion in (a) has shown that switching $c_{2}$ changes $L_{1}$ to $W_{1}$ and $L_{1}$ is 2-adjacent to $W_{1}$. Moreover, using (2.5) and Proposition 2.1, we know that opening $c_{1}, c_{2}$ changes $L_{1}$ to a three-component link, which is equivalent to $a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)=a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)$.

Suppose that opening $c_{1}$ (resp. $c_{2}$ ) changes $L_{1}$ to $G_{1} \cup H$ (resp. $G \cup G_{3}$ ), and opening $c_{2}$ (resp. $c_{1}$ ) changes $H$ (resp. $G$ ) to $G_{2} \cup G_{3}$ (resp. $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ ). Denote $L_{2}$ and $l k\left(G_{j}, G_{k}\right)$ by $G_{4}$ and $l_{j k}(1 \leq j<k \leq 4)$ respectively. Since $a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)=a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)$, then $l k\left(G_{1} \cup H\right)=0$ and $l k\left(G \cup G_{3}\right)=0$, i.e., $l_{12}=-l_{13}=l_{23}$. In general, $\alpha, \beta$ can be determined by the identity $a_{4}\left(L_{1}\right)-a_{4}\left(W_{1}\right)=-\alpha \beta(l k(\widetilde{L}))^{2}($ see $[21]), \widetilde{L}=\widehat{D}\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)=G_{1} \cup G_{2} \cup G_{3}$ and $l k(\widetilde{L})=l_{12}$.

From the identity $0=a_{3}(L)-a_{3}(W)=\alpha a_{2}\left(G_{1} \cup H \cup L_{2}\right)$ and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
0=\left(l_{12}+l_{13}\right) l k(L)+l_{14}\left(l_{24}+l_{34}\right)
$$

Similarly, $0=\left(l_{13}+l_{23}\right) l k(L)+l_{34}\left(l_{14}+l_{24}\right)$.
So $l_{14}\left(l_{24}+l_{34}\right)=0, l_{34}\left(l_{14}+l_{24}\right)=0$.
Notice that $l k(L)=l_{14}+l_{24}+l_{34}$. If $l_{24}=0$, then $l k(L)=l_{14}$ or $l k(L)=l_{34}$. If $l_{24} \neq 0$, then $l k(L)=l_{24}$ (and $l_{14}=l_{34}=0$ ) or $l k(L)=l_{34}\left(=l_{14}=-l_{24}\right.$ ). Therefore, $l k(L)$ is always equal to the linking number between $L_{2}$ and one of the other components of $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ and $l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=l_{12}+l k(L)$.

We see that if either $L$ or $W$ has a trivial linking number (e.g. the Whitehead link, etc.), then $l k(L)=0,\left|l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)\right|=\sqrt{\left|a_{4}\left(L_{1}\right)-a_{4}\left(W_{1}\right)\right|}, l_{14}=l_{24}=l_{34}=0$, i.e., $a_{3}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=$ 0 . In general, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 If the notations and the conditions are as the convention, $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are the crossings of $L_{1}$, and $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has four components, then

$$
\sqrt{\left|a_{4}\left(L_{1}\right)-a_{4}\left(W_{1}\right)\right|}=\left|l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)-l k(L)\right|
$$

and $a_{3}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)$ is $\left(l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)-2 l k(L)\right)^{2} l k(L)$ if all linking numbers of $L_{2}$ with any other component of $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ are not zero, and is $\left|a_{4}\left(L_{1}\right)-a_{4}\left(W_{1}\right)\right| l k(L)$ otherwise.

Proof Since $l_{12}=-l_{13}=l_{23}$, by Proposition 2.1, $a_{3}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=l_{12}^{2} l k(L)-2 l_{12} l_{14} l_{34}-$ $l_{14} l_{24} l_{34}$. If $l_{24} \neq 0$ and $l k(L)=l_{34}=l_{14}=-l_{24}$, since $l_{12}=l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)-l k(L)$, then $a_{3}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=\left[l_{12}^{2}-2 l_{12} l k(L)+(l k(L))^{2}\right] l k(L)=\left(l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)-2 l k(L)\right)^{2} l k(L)$. The rest of the proof is obvious.

Case 2 If $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are the crossings of the different components, i.e., $c_{1}$ in $L_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ in $L_{2}$, then $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a four-component link.

It is similar to Case 1 to prove that after switching $c_{2}$, although $L_{2}$ may become $W_{1}$ or $W_{2}$, $L_{j}$ is equal to $W_{j}, j=1,2$.

Now, let $G_{1} \cup G_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.G_{3} \cup G_{4}\right)$ be a link obtained from $L_{1}$ (resp. $L_{2}$ ) by opening $c_{1}$ (resp. $c_{2}$ ), and $l_{j k}=l k\left(G_{j}, G_{k}\right), 1 \leq j<k \leq 4$, so $l k(L)=l_{13}+l_{14}+l_{23}+l_{24}$. From Proposition 2.1 and the identity $0=a_{3}(L)-a_{3}(W)=\alpha a_{2}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, c_{2}\right)\right)=\alpha a_{2}\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2} \cup L_{2}\right)=\beta a_{2}\left(L_{1} \cup G_{3} \cup G_{4}\right)$, we have

$$
l_{12} l k(L)+\left(l_{13}+l_{14}\right)\left(l_{23}+l_{24}\right)=0, \quad l_{34} l k(L)+\left(l_{13}+l_{23}\right)\left(l_{14}+l_{24}\right)=0
$$

For the case of $l k(L)=0$ (e.g. either $L$ or $W$ is the trivial link, the Whitehead link, etc.), by the above two equalities and $l k(L)=l_{13}+l_{14}+l_{23}+l_{24}$, we have $l_{13}=l_{14}=l_{23}=l_{24}=0$, so $a_{3}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=0$.

Therefore, from the above discussion and Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3 If the notations and the conditions are as the convention, $l k(L)=0$ and $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has four components, then $a_{5}(L)=a_{5}(W)$.

## 3 Jones Polynomial and 2-Adjacency

Let $V(X ; t)$ indicate Jones polynomial (see [11]) of link $X$.
Proposition 3.1 (see $[9,11,13]$ ) Suppose that $V(G ; t)$ is the Jones polynomial of a link $G$ with $c=c(G)$ components.
(1) If $c(G)=1$, then $V^{\prime}(G ; 1)=0, V^{\prime \prime}(G ; 1)=-6 a_{2}(G)$.
(2) If $c(G)>1$, then $V^{\prime}(G ; 1)=-3(-2)^{c(G)-2} l k(G)$.
(3) $V(G ; 1)=(-2)^{c(G)-1}$.

It is similar to the discussions of (2.1)-(2.4) that we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1 If the notations and the conditions are as the convention, then

$$
V\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right) ; t\right)=\alpha \beta t^{1-\alpha-\beta}\left(V(L ; t)-\left(t^{2 \alpha}+t^{2 \beta}-t^{2(\alpha+\beta)}\right) V(W ; t)\right)(1-t)^{-2} .
$$

Corollary 3.1 The notations and the conditions are as the convention. $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a link with four components if and only if $a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)=a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right) ; D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a link with two components if and only if $a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)=\alpha \beta+a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)$.

Proof According to Theorem 3.1, we have

$$
V\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right) ; 1\right)=\frac{\alpha \beta\left(8 \alpha \beta V(W ; 1)+V^{\prime \prime}(L ; 1)-V^{\prime \prime}(W ; 1)\right)}{2} .
$$

Since $V(W ; 1)=-2, l k(L)=l k(W), a_{2}\left(L_{2}\right)=a_{2}\left(W_{2}\right)$, using corrected Murakami's formula (see $[16,22]$ ), we have

$$
V\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right) ; 1\right)=-8+6 \alpha \beta\left(a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)-a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)\right) .
$$

Thus, the results follows from Proposition 3.1.

## 4 Homfly Polynomial and 2-Adjacency

We use $P(G)(l, m)=\sum p_{j}(l) m^{j}$ to represent the Homfly polynomial (see [14]) of a link $G$ (sometimes we replace $p_{j}(l)$ by $p_{j}(G)$ or $p_{j}(G)(l)$ ). i always denotes $\sqrt{-1}$. Lickorish W. B. R. and Millett K. C. gave the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1 (see [14]). Let link $G$ have $c(G)$ components and the other notations be as above. We have the following properties:
(1) If $G$ is a link with $c(G) \geq 2$ components, then

$$
\lim _{l \rightarrow \mathrm{i}}\left[\left(-\left(l+l^{-1}\right)^{2-c(G)} p_{3-c(G)}(l)\right]=l k(G) \mathrm{i} .\right.
$$

The exponent of the lowest power of $m$ which appears in the Homfly polynomial of $L$ is precisely $1-c(G)$. It has a coefficient

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1-c(G)}(l)=\left(-l^{2}\right)^{-l k(G)}\left[-\left(l+l^{-1}\right)\right]^{c(G)-1} \prod_{j=1}^{c(G)} p_{0}^{j}(l) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) If $G$ is a knot, then $p_{2}(\mathrm{i})=-a_{2}(G), p_{0}(\mathrm{i})=1, p_{0}^{\prime}(\mathrm{i})=0, p_{0}^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{i})=8 a_{2}(G)$.

The notations and the conditions are as the convention. Then it is similar to the above argument in (2.1)-(2.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2} P\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=P(W)\left(l^{-\alpha-\beta}+l^{\alpha-\beta}+l^{\beta-\alpha}\right)+l^{\alpha+\beta} P(L) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have the following theorem.
Theorem 4.1 If the notations and the conditions are as above, then

$$
m^{2} P\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}P(W)\left(2+l^{-2 \alpha}\right)+l^{2 \alpha} P(L), & \text { if } \alpha=\beta= \pm 1 \\ P(W)\left(l^{-2}+1+l^{2}\right)+P(L), & \text { if } \alpha \beta=-1\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 2.1 and (4.1), $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has two or four components depending on $p_{-3}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)$ to be zero or not. So the following corollary is obtained easily.

Corollary 4.1 If the notations and the conditions are as above, and $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has two components, then
(1) $\alpha=\beta= \pm 1 \Leftrightarrow p_{-1}(L)=-p_{-1}(W)\left(l^{-4 \alpha}+2 l^{-2 \alpha}\right)$;
(2) $\alpha \beta=-1 \Leftrightarrow p_{-1}(L)=-p_{-1}(W)\left(l^{-2}+1+l^{2}\right)$.

Corollary 4.2 The notations and conditions are as the convention.
(1) If $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has two components, then $p_{-1}(L)(1)$ is an odd multiple of 6 , or $p_{0}(1)$ of one component of $L$ can be divisible by 3 and the other is trivial.
(2) If both components of $L$ have trivial $p_{0}(l)$ (e.g. the trivial link, the Whitehead link, the Hopf link, etc.), then one component of $W$ is the same as one of the components of $L$ and the other has trivial $a_{2}$.

Proof (1) It follows from (4.1) and the property that for any knot $Q, p_{0}(Q)(1)$ is an odd number.
(2) Assume $a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right) \neq 0$. From Corollary 3.1, we know that $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a two-component link, i.e., $p_{-3}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=0$. Calculating $p_{-1}(L)$, by (4.1)-(4.2), we have

$$
\left(-l^{2}\right)^{-l k(L)}\left(l+l^{-1}\right)=p_{-1}(W)\left(l^{-2(\alpha+\beta)}+l^{-2 \beta}+l^{-2 \alpha}\right)
$$

It is impossible.
Corollary 4.3 The notations and conditions are as the convention. If $a_{3}(L) \neq a_{3}(W)$, then 2-adjacency is one-way at most.

Proof Since $a_{3}(L) \neq a_{3}(W)$, by Theorem 2.1, $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a two-component link. Furthermore, by Corollary 3.1, $a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)=\alpha \beta+a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)$.

If $W$ is also 2-adjacent to $L$, we can prove similarly that $a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)-a_{2}\left(L_{1}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\bar{c}_{1}\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(\bar{c}_{2}\right)$, where $\bar{c}_{1}, \bar{c}_{2}$ are the crossings to realize 2 -adjacency, $W_{1}$ is 2 -adjacent to $L_{1}$ and $D\left(o \bar{c}_{1}, o \bar{c}_{2}\right)$ has two components. Hence, $\operatorname{sign}\left(\bar{c}_{1}\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(\bar{c}_{2}\right)=-\alpha \beta$.
(1) If $\alpha \beta=1$, then by Corollary 4.1, $p_{-1}(L)=-p_{-1}(W)\left(l^{-4 \alpha}+2 l^{-2 \alpha}\right)$ and $p_{-1}(W)=$ $-p_{-1}(L)\left(l^{-2}+1+l^{2}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
p_{-1}(L)=p_{-1}(L)\left(l^{-4 \alpha}+2 l^{-2 \alpha}\right)\left(l^{-2}+1+l^{2}\right)
$$

However, it is impossible.
(2) It is similar to prove the case of $\alpha \beta=-1$.

## 5 2-Adjacency of the Trivial Link

Corollary 5.1 The notations and the conditions are as the convention. If $L$ is the trivial link and is 2-adjacent to $W$, then $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has four components. Furthermore, if $a_{4}\left(W_{1}\right)=$ 0 , then $l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=0$ and there exists an integer $n$ such that $\prod_{j=1}^{2} p_{0}\left(W_{j}\right)(1)=1+$ $8 n, \prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(l)=1+6 n$. In particular, if $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are in the different components, then each component of $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has trivial $p_{0}(l)$.

Proof If the trivial link is 2 -adjacent to $W$, then according to Corollary 4.2, the trivial link can not be 2-adjacent to a link with a component whose $a_{2}$ is not zero. By Corollary 3.1, $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has four components. If $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are in $L_{1}$, then we know that $L_{1}$ is adjacent to $W_{1}$. Since $a_{4}\left(W_{1}\right)=0$, by Theorem 2.2 and its proof, we obtain $l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=0$ and all linking numbers between any two components of $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ are zero.

If $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are in different components, then $W_{1}, W_{2}$ are trivial. By (4.1)-(4.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{-\alpha-\beta}+l^{\alpha-\beta}+l^{\beta-\alpha}+l^{\alpha+\beta}=\left(-l^{2}\right)^{-l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)}\left(l+l^{-1}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(l) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $l^{-2}+2+l^{2}=\left(-l^{2}\right)^{-l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right.}\left(l+l^{-1}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(l)$, and also,

$$
1=\left(-l^{2}\right)^{-l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right.} \prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(l)
$$

Hence, $p_{0}^{j}(l)=1, j=1,2,3,4$, and $l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=0$.
Next, taking $l=1$, by (4.1)-(4.2), we have $3 \prod_{j=1}^{2} p_{0}\left(W_{j}\right)(1)+1=4 \prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(1)$. Assume $k=\prod_{j=1}^{2} p_{0}\left(W_{j}\right)(1)-\prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(1)$. Obviously, $\prod_{j=1}^{2} p_{0}\left(W_{j}\right)(1)=1+4 k$, and $\prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(1)=1+3 k$. Furthermore, since $p_{0}^{j}(1)$ is always an odd number, the conclusion is true.

Corollary 5.2 Let the notations and the conditions be as the convention, $L$ be the trivial link, $c_{1}, c_{2}$ be the crossings of $L_{1}, a_{4}\left(W_{1}\right)=0$ and $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ have four components $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}, K_{4}\left(=L_{2}=W_{2}\right)$. Then
(1) $p_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(W_{1}\right)(\mathrm{i})=0$;
(2) $p_{0}^{(4)}\left(W_{1}\right)(\mathrm{i})=384 \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{2}\left(K_{j}\right)$;
(3) $V^{\prime \prime}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right) ; 1\right)=48 \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{2}\left(K_{j}\right)-6$;
(4) $V^{(3)}(W ; 1)=\frac{3}{2}, V^{(4)}(W ; 1)=-\frac{45}{8}-576 \alpha \beta \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{2}\left(K_{j}\right)$.

Proof From Corollary 5.1 and its proof, we know that $l k\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)\right)=0$ and $l k\left(K_{j}, K_{k}\right)=$ 0 , for any $j \neq k$. Since $L$ is the trivial link and $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has four components, by Corollary $3.1, a_{2}\left(W_{1}\right)=0$, i.e., $p_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(W_{1}\right)(\mathrm{i})=0$, and by $(4.1)-(4.2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}\left(W_{1}\right)(l)\left(l^{-\alpha-\beta}+l^{\alpha-\beta}+l^{\beta-\alpha}\right)+l^{\alpha+\beta}=\left(l+l^{-1}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=1}^{4} p_{0}^{j}(l) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the result of (1) (resp. (2)) is easily obtained by calculating the values of the third (resp. the fourth) derivatives of both sides of (5.2) at $l=\sqrt{-1}$ and by using Proposition 4.1. Using the corrected Murakami's formula (see [16, 22]) and L'Hospital's rule, we conclude with the result (3)-(4) can be obtained by calculating the values of $V^{\prime}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right) ; 1\right), V^{\prime \prime}\left(D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right) ; 1\right)$ and by using (3), Proposition 3.1, L'Hospital's rule and corrected Murakami's formula again.

It is not difficult for the reader to find infinitely many two-component links, with one trivial component and the other nontrivial while $a_{2}=0$. For instance, these links can be constructed by using $8_{14}$, whose $a_{2}$ is zero. In fact, there are many knots like $8_{14}$, such as $10_{33}, 10_{67}, 10_{82}$, $10_{108}, 10_{116}, 10_{118}, 10_{146}$, etc. In [21], it has been proven that the trivial knot is not 2 -adjacent to them. So by the proof of Corollary 5.1, the trivial link can not be 2 -adjacent to the link constructed by the trivial knot and one of these knots. However, we do not know whether it is true in general.

## 6 Applications and Examples

Using the above results, we give two examples.
Example 6.1 The Whitehead link and the trivial link are not 2-adjacent to each other.
Proof We consider whether the trivial link is 2 -adjacent to the Whitehead link. Since their $a_{3}$ are not equal, by Theorem 2.1, $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is a two-component link. However, from Corollary 3.1, we know that $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ has four components. Hence, the trivial link is not 2-adjacent to the Whitehead link.

Similarly, we can prove that the Whitehead link is not 2-adjacent to the trivial link.
Example 6.2 $L 7 a 2$ and $L 7 n 1$ (see [2]) are not 2-adjacent to each other.
Proof Choosing the directions of their components such that their linking numbers are 2. $\nabla(L 7 a 2)=3 z^{3}+2 z, P(L 7 a 2)=\left(l^{-9}+3 l^{-7}+2 l^{-5}\right) m^{-1}-\left(3 l^{-7}+4 l^{-5}-l^{-3}\right) m+\left(2 l^{-5}-l^{-3}\right) m^{3}$, $\nabla(L 7 n 1)=z^{5}+4 z^{3}+2 z, P(L 7 n 1)=\left(l^{-9}+3 l^{-7}+2 l^{-5}\right) m^{-1}-\left(4 l^{-7}+6 l^{-5}\right) m+\left(l^{-7}+5 l^{-5}\right) m^{3}-$ $l^{-5} m^{5}, \nabla(L 7 n 1!)=z^{3}+2 z, P(L 7 n 1!)=\left(2 l^{-3}+3 l^{-1}+l\right) m^{-1}-\left(l^{-3}+4 l^{-1}+l\right) m+l^{-1} m^{3}$ (here $L 7 n 1$ ! is the mirror image of $L 7 n 1$ and its linking number is -2 ). Using (4.1)-(4.2), we know that $D\left(o c_{1}, o c_{2}\right)$ is always a four-component link. However, their $a_{3}$ are different. By Theorem 2.1, $L 7 a 2$ is not 2-adjacent to $L 7 n 1$.

Similarly, we can prove that $L 7 n 1$ is not 2-adjacent to $L 7 a 2$.


Figure 1 The links $L_{n}$ and $H$
It is easy to check that the link in Figure 1(a) is 2-adjacent to the trivial link and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, its Conway polynomial is always zero. If the links in Figure 1 are denoted by $L_{n}$ and $H$ respectively, using the relation between bracket polynomial and Jones polynomial (see [10-11]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle L\rangle= & A^{-1}\left\langle L_{n-1}\right\rangle+A\left(-A^{3}\right)^{n-1}\langle H \sqcup \bigcirc\rangle \\
= & A^{-n}\left\langle L_{0}\right\rangle+\langle H \sqcup \bigcirc\rangle \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A^{1-j}\left(-A^{3}\right)^{n-1-j} \\
= & A^{-n}\left\langle L_{0}\right\rangle+A\left(-A^{3}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1-\left(-A^{-4}\right)^{n}}{1+A^{-4}}\langle H \sqcup \bigcirc\rangle, \\
\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-n+6}\left\langle L_{n}\right\rangle= & \left(-A^{3}\right)^{-n} A^{-n}\left[\left(-A^{3}\right)^{6}\left\langle L_{0}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +A\left(-A^{3}\right)^{n-1}\left(-A^{3}\right)^{-n} \frac{1-\left(-A^{-4}\right)^{n}}{1+A^{-4}}\left(-A^{3}\right)^{6}\langle H \sqcup \bigcirc\rangle, \\
V\left(L_{n} ; t\right)= & (-1)^{n} t^{n} V\left(L_{0} ; t\right)+\left(1-(-t)^{n}\right) V(H ; t),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(L_{0} ; t\right)= & -t^{-\frac{17}{2}}(1+t)\left(-1+5 t-14 t^{2}+28 t^{3}-44 t^{4}+57 t^{5}-64 t^{6}+64 t^{7}\right. \\
& \left.-55 t^{8}+42 t^{9}-26 t^{10}+13 t^{11}-5 t^{12}+t^{13}\right) \\
V(H ; t)= & t^{-1 \frac{3}{2}}(1+t)\left(1-3 t+5 t^{2}-6 t^{3}+6 t^{4}-6 t^{5}+4 t^{6}-3 t^{7}+t^{8}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the highest-power term of $V\left(L_{n} ; t\right)$ is $(-1)^{n+1} t^{n+\frac{11}{2}}$, i.e., $L_{n}(n=1,2, \cdots)$ are different from each other. In other words, there exist infinitely many links 2-adjacent to the trivial link and their Conway polynomials are 0 .


Figure 2 The two examples
The two examples in Figure 2 tell us that for any split link (such as $K_{1} \cup K_{2}$ and $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ in Figure 2), we can find infinitely many links 2-adjacent to it.


Figure 3 The links 2-adjacent to $L_{1} \cup L_{2}$
Here $L_{1} U L_{2}>$ is a non-split link. Clearly, the example in Figure 3 shows the following fact that for any non-split link, we can find infinitely many links 2 -adjacent to it, which have the same Conway polynomial and $\alpha, \beta$ can be chosen as you want.
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